

The 10th Conference of European Foundation for Plant Pathology (EFPP)

## **Response of** *Pisum sativum* **L. to Southern Blight Disease under Abiotic Stress of Copper**

Dr. Amna Shoaib



Institute of Agricultural Sciences University of the Punjab, Lahore.



## PAKISTAN

## Pea (Pisum sativum L.)

- Native to central and southeast Asia
  - Annual, self-pollinated, rapid-growing and cool season *Fabaceae* 
    - $\checkmark$  low in calories-lose weight.
    - rich in dietary fiber- avoid from constipation.
    - iron and vitamin C strengthen the immune system.
    - folic acid and vitamin B6 promote the cardiovascular health.
    - antioxidants, like vitamin C- keep cancer at bay.
    - maintain level of cholesterol aid energy production, nerve function - carbohydrate metabolism- important nutrients for maintaining bone health.



- Ranks forth on production basis (441.53 thousand tons) among grain legumes grown on an area of 528.71 thousand hectares in the world (FAO, 2009).
- In *Pakistan* third position- 1689 ha 83603 tones production the average per hectare yield is very low (Anonymous, 2010-11).

# Factors affecting pea growth and yield Biotic stress-Pathogens

- Fungal pathogens most devastating affecting pea growth and yield throughout the world.
- *Sclerotium rolfsii* Sacc an economically important soilborne plant pathogen
- Attack 500 cultivated and wild plants species cause wilt, root rot, stem rot and foot rot
- Southern blight (white mold), southern wilt, collar rot, southern stem rot, sclerotium blight, sclerotium wilt and white mold
- dark brown lesion on the stem or just beneath the soil level - progressive yellowing and wilting of the leavesseedling mortality- fall in plant growth and biomass

- Survival under these conditions demands quick defense responses to inhibit pathogen spread after initial infection and thus to limit disease.
- **Plant defensins** are small, highly stable, cysteine-rich peptides that constitute a part of the innate immune system primarily directed against fungal pathogens (Henrik *et al.*, 2009).
- Disrupting microbial membranes acting as ligands for cellular recognition and signaling
- In addition to pathogen-induction, defensins can also be induced by environmental stress

## Copper

## Abiotic stress- Pollutants

- Plants contributor of harmful concentrations of heavy metals in to the food chain
- Essential micronutrients
- Toxic level in agricultural soils- wastewater, phosphate fertilizer, fungicides, sewage sludge amendment, poultry manure and wood-preservatives

## **Prescribed Limits of Copper**

- Drinking-water: 1 mg/L
- Ranges in Pakistani soils: 6.55 to 25.41 mg/L
- Normal range for plants: 5-20 mg/L
- Maximum allowable limits: 40 mg/L

## **Higher doses inhibits**

 Plant growth, key cellular processes, including photosynthesis and electron transport, lipid peroxidation, disruption of protein functions due to Cu-binding to sulphhydryl groups, Reduced biomass, Stunted growth, Chlorosis, Root malformation

- Cu induces the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS).
- Plants ROS scavenging systems prevent or reduce cellular injury caused by the generation of ROS in response to heavy metal stresses.
- **Metallothioneins** Cysteine-rich, low molecular weight well-known metal-binding peptides - play a role in Cu tolerance, homeostasis
- Cystein group of MT catch OH- terminate oxidative stress



The aims of present research work were to:

- check the damaging impact of S. rolfsii and Cu(II) on seed and seedling growth of P. sativum
- characterize expression of Defensin like and Metallothionein genes in the test plant



## **Pathogenicity test**

- *S. rolfsii*, the causal agent of pea's southern blight (Yaqub and Shahzad, 2011)- National Agriculture Research Centre, Islamabad.
- Conidial suspensions of  $4.8 \times 10^6$  conidia/mL-2 week old cultures of *S. rolfsii*-in sterilized distilled water
- Freshly prepared inoculum of pathogen-mixed with sterilized soil-sterilized *Pisum sativum* L. var. Mateor seeds
- Pots-shaded glasshouse ( $25^{\circ}C \pm 3$ ; 12 h photoperiod; soil moisture 50%) in a completely randomized design.
- Symptoms confirmed on 30<sup>th</sup> day after germination on the basis of 0-5 scale (Lotunde-Dada, 1993).
  - 1 = a small number of leaf wilt symptoms in plants,
  - 2 = slight infection, mycelial mass only on the surface of the soil,
  - **3** = moderate infection, wilting and blight, mycelial mass around stem,
  - 4 = severe infection, advanced wilt, sclerotia forming around crown
  - 5= plant dead, 100% rotted

## Pathogenecity of S. rolfsii

- **40%** reduction in germination rate
- 50% infected plant wilting, chlorosis and wrinkling of lower leaves
- Lower stem and upper roots dark coloration
- **Roots** shorter, dark coloration and lateral roots were absent.
- On infected tissue and soil- abundant white and branched mycelium Wilting and chlorosis of leaves



Pathogenecity of S. rolfsii against Pisum sativum

## Treatments used during the experiments

		Treatments
1	С	Control
2	Р	S. rolfsii
3	<b>M1</b>	25 mg/L of Cu(II)
4	<b>M2</b>	50 mg/L of Cu(II)
5	<b>M3</b>	75 mg/L of Cu(II)
6	<b>M4</b>	100 mg/L of Cu(II)
7	<b>PM1</b>	S. rolfsii + 25 mg/L of Cu(II)
8	<b>PM2</b>	S. rolfsii + 50 mg/L of Cu(II)
9	PM3	S. rolfsii + 75 mg/L of Cu(II)
10	<b>PM4</b>	S. rolfsii + 100 mg/L of Cu(II)

The experiment was laid out as a completely randomized design with three replicates of each treatment.



Antifungal activity of Cu(II) against Sclerotium rolfsii

Metal-amended medium (2 Malt Extract Agar) in the Petri dishes were inoculated aseptically at the centre with 5 mm (diameter) inoculum-disc of test fungus and incubated at 25°C for 7 days.

### **POT BIOASSAYS**









## **Plate Bioassays**



Fig. 1: Effect of Sclerotium rolfsii and Cu(II) on germination % age of Pisum sativum.

C: Control; P: Treatments inoculated with pathogen; M1, M2, M3 & M4: Treatments treated with 25, 50, 75 and 100 mg/L of Cu(II), respectively; PM1, PM2, PM3 and PM4: Treatments inoculated with pathogen combine with metal in each of four concentration 25, 50, 75 and 100 mg/L of Cu(II), respectively.



Fig. 2: A-C: Effect of Sclerotium rolfsii and Cu(II) on shoot growth of Psium sativum.



Fig. 3: A-C: Effect of Sclerotium rolfsii and Cu(II) on root growth of Pisum sativum.

### Antifungal activity of Cu

Fungal growth (cm) suppressed by 10%, 18%, 22% and 50% at concentration of 25, 50, 75 and 100 mg/L, respectively



Treatments

Fig. 4: Effect of different concentrations of Cu(II) on mycelial growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii* after 7th day of inoculation



Influence of different concentration of Cu(II) on growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii* after 7<sup>th</sup> d of inoculation.



### **Pot Bioassays**

	Treatm ents	Shoot length (cm)	Shoot fresh wt (g)	Shoot dry wt (g)	Root length (cm)	Root fresh Wt (g)	Root dry wt (g)	No of pods/plant	Fresh wt of pods (g)	Dry wt of pods (g)
	С	18.7±0.4a	1.19±0.02a	0.16±0.01a	7.43±0.3 <b>a</b>	0.30±0.03a	0.05±0a	0	0	0
) days after sowing	Р	13.8±0.3d	0.92±0.01cd	0.12±0.0ab	6.0±0.3 <b>d</b>	0.19±0.03f	0.03±0b	0	0	0
	MI	15.5±0.2be	1.08±0.01b	0.14±0 ab	6.78±0.54b	0.24±0.01cd	0.04±0ab	0	0	0
	M2	14.3±0.3 cd	1.08±0.01b	0.13±0.01ab	6.75±0.26b	0.23±0.03c-e	0.03±0b	0	0	0
	M3	12.2±0.2ef	0.87±0.01de	0.11±0.02ab	6.38±0.45c	0.22±0.02de	0.03±0b	0	0	0
	M4	11.2±0.3f	0.86±0.02e	0.1±0.0b	6±0.17 <b>d</b>	0.2±0.01ef	0.03±0b	0	0	0
	PMI	16.8±0.1b	1.12±0.01b	0.14±0.02ab	6.81± 0.3b	0.29±0.03a	0.03±0b	0	0	0
	PM2	15.5±0.3bc	1.08±0.02b	0.14±0.01ab	6.8± 0.12b	0.28±0.08ab	0.03±0b	0	0	0
ē	PM3	14.2±0.2cd	0.95±0.01c	0.12±0.01ab	6.5±0.3c	0.26±0.04bc	0.03±0b	0	0	0
	PM4	13.3±0.4 <b>de</b>	0.87±0.0de	0.11±0.01 <b>ab</b>	6.07±0.41d	0.21±0.03ef	0.03±0 <b>b</b>	0	0	0
	С	28±0.3a	1.237±0.01a	0.29±0.01a	8.5±0.06a	0.253±0.01a	0.04±0a	2±0.5a	1.3±0.02a	0.45±0.01a
<b></b> 0	P	19±0.26e	0.76±0.03d	0.1±0.029c	5.4±0.12e	0.17±0.01e	0.02±0b	2±0.5a	0.66±0.01f	0.23±0.0f
Ē.	MI	25±0.39b	1.19±0.07a	0.2±0.02b	7.5±0.06b	0.25±0.0b	0.034±0ab	2±0a	1.12±0.01b	0.39±0.01b
ter sow i	M2	23±0.35c	0.978±0.05b	0.185±0.01bc	6.65±0.12c	0.221±0.01bc	0.033±0ab	1±0ab	1.07±0.01bc	0.37±0.0bc
	M3	20.6±0.49d	0.893±0.02bc	0.15±0bc	5.9±0.06de	0.21±0.0cd	0.026±0b	1±0ab	0.92±0.02de	0.32±0.0d
af	M4	20±0.29de	0.772±0.07d	0.14±0.02bc	5.6±0.15de	0.18±0.01de	0.025±0b	0±0 <b>b</b>	0.89±0.01de	0.31±0.01de
E a	PMI	24±0.09bc	0.984±0.01b	0.19±0.01b	7.51±0.06b	0.251±0.01b	0.035±0.01ab	2±0.5a	1.09±0.02bc	0.38±0.01bc
P	PM2	21± 0.25d	0.978±0.05b	0.18±0.01bc	6.7±0.11c	0.223±0.0bc	0.033±0.01ab	2±0.3a	1.04±0.01c	0.36±0.01c
9	PM3	20.7±0.44d	0.91±0.09b	0.15±0.01be	6±0.12d	0.215±0e	0.033±0.01ab	1±0ab	0.93±0.01d	0.31±0.01de
	PM4	20.5±0.47 <b>de</b>	0.81±0.05cd	0.14±0bc	5.9±0.06 <b>de</b>	0.214±0.0cd	0.027±0b	1±0ab	0.86±0.01e	0.3±0.0e
	С	30±0.72a	2.23±0.04a	0.45±0.03a	15.5±0.28a	0.27±0.01a	0.06±0a	5±0.5a	2.0±0.1a	0.7±0.0a
60	Р	20.9±0.6e	1.4±0.02g	0.23±0.01c	10.8±0.61g	0.19±0.0c	0.02±0b	5±0a	1.14±0.01e	0.4±0.01g
-Ē	MI	24.4±0.33cd	1.8±0.12c	0.37±0.04ab	14.51±0.44b	0.25±0.01b	0.04±0ab	4±0.5ab	1.74±0.05b	0.61±0.01b
No.	M2	23.4±0.32cd	1.78±0.11cd	0.35±0.03a-c	13.35±0.47cd	0.237±0.02be	0.036±0b	3±0 <b>b</b>	1.51±0.01cd	0.53±0.0d
E	M3	22.5±0.26de	1.45±0.04g	0.31±0.02be	13±0.44 <b>d</b>	0.215±0.02 bc	0.03±0b	3±0 <b>b</b>	1.45±0.05cd	0.51±0.01d
a l	M4	21±0.18e	1.42±0.05g	0.3±0.02bc	11.1±0.4fg	0.2±0.01 bc	0.025±0b	3±0 <b>b</b>	1.4±0.01 <b>d</b>	0.49±0.0e
ays	PM1	26.5±0.32b	2.01±0.05b	0.39±0.02ab	14.23±0.29b	$0.221 \pm 0.03$ be	0.034±0b	4.5±0.3ab	1.63±0.00bc	0.57±0.01c
P 0	PM2	25.5±0.36bc	1.65±0.09de	0.34±0.03a-c	14±0.17bc	0.22±0.01 bc	0.034±0.0b	4±0.5 <b>ab</b>	1.48±0.01cd	0.52±0.01d
<u> </u>	PM3	24.4±0.45cd	1.62±0.04ef	0.33±0.01a-c	12.6±0.21de	0.21±0.02 bc	0.03±0b	4±0ab	1.37±0.01d	0.48±0.0e
	PM4	23±0.33de	1.51±0.05fg	0.33±0.02a-c	11.8±0.72ef	0.21±0.02 bc	0.03±0c	3.3±0ab	1.31±0.01 <b>de</b>	0.46±0.01f

For each harvest separately values with different letters in a column are significantly different according to Tukey's HSD Test ( $P \le 0.05$ ).  $\pm$  show standard errors of means of three replicates. Values with different letters show significant difference ( $P \le 0.05$ ) as determined by C: Control; P: Seeds inoculated with pathogen; M1, M2, M3 & M4: Seeds treated with 25, 50, 75 and 100 mg/L of Cu(II), respectively; PM1, PM2, PM3 and PM4: Seed incubated under combine stress of pathogen and 25, 50, 75 and 100 mg/L of Cu(II), respectively.

### Reduction rate in plant growth and biomass due to different treatments at three growth stages

Treatments	Germination rate	Shoot length cm	Shoot fresh weight gm	Shoot dry weight gm	Root length cm	Root fresh weight gm	Root dry weight gm	Plant Death rate
Pathogen	42%	26-40%	22-65%	25-40%	20-30%	40-50%	50-60%	70%
M1-M4	4-35%	20-40%	5-40%	15-50%	10-25%	20-50%	40-50%	25%
PM1-PM4	20-35%	10-40%	10-40%	10-50%	10-30%	10-50%	10-50%	40%

### $P > M \ge PM$

#### 

### Symptoms on plant due to different treatments

- 1. Pathogen: wilting, chlorosis, wrinkling of lower leaves, rotting of stem and roots near the soil line along with abundant white branched mycelium and brown sclerotia
- 2. Metal: chlorosis, shortened internodes and stem, stunt, curled and fragile roots along with absence of lateral roots or root hairs
- 3. Metal + Pathogen : yellowing and wilting of leaves, fragile, short roots and shoots



- Pathogen: 40-50% reduction in fresh and dry weights-Wilting, chlorosis and sclerotium were also observed
- Metal alone or combined with Pathogen: 20%, 20-35% and 15-30% reduction in the number of pods, fresh and dry weight, respectively

- \* The pathogenicity of *S. rolfsii* probably be correlated:
  - with production of variety of enzymes by the fungus exhibit inhibitory action on different physiological and metabolic functions of the plant through disturbing level of oxidative enzymes.
- **Cu(II)** stress in plant may lead to:
  - o oxygen depletion at higher metal concentration
  - damaged vascular bundle due to inhibition of enzymes involved in photosynthetic reaction, conduction of water molecules and desired nutrients from roots to aerial parts
- Simultaneous occurrence of pathogen and metal may cause drastic effect on plant growth due to following reasons:
  - In the presence of Cu, it might be expected that fungus would not either grow or flourish.
  - detrimental effect of Cu(II) on plant
  - puncturing and penetration of roots outer cell layer by growth of S. *rolfsii* thus providing more absorption sites in roots
  - utilization of Cu(II) and rest of soil nutrients by the fungus for its own growth

### **Expression due to Def like gene**

Expression of Def like gene was more pronounced in the treatments under combined action of S. rolfsii + Cu (II) followed by solitary action of S. rolfsii and Cu(II), respectively



Production of plant defensin gene expression under biotic and abiotic stresses was confirmed by the previous findings:

- Phytoalexin and lignin biosynthesis are key responses to pathogen attack
- **\*** Response to pathogen and Cu confirms its role as an abiotic elicitor.
- Participate in management of Cu stress, or signal transduction shared by the stress-response systems.

### **Expression due to Metallothionein**

Treatments provided with either Cu(II) alone or combined with S. rolfsii, showed intense and highly conserved gene expression, whereas MTA gene did not expressed in treatments inoculated with pathogen and control.



### Expression of MTA gene in *P. sativum* indicated its role:

- Preventing or reducing cellular injury caused by the generation of ROS in response to Cu stresses
- Keeper of metal homeostasis through chelating, effluxing or sequestering Cu ions

Remaining Cu(II) concentration in the <i>P. sativum</i>								
Tratmonts	Cu(II) given to	Cu(II) uptake by plant (mg/L)						
Treatments	the soil (mg/L)	1 <sup>st</sup> harvest	2 <sup>nd</sup> harvest					
С	0	0.69±0.01g	0.71±0.01g					
Р	0	0.88±0.01g	0.9±0.01g					
M1	25	12.5±0.02f	13.8±0.02f					
M2	50	21.5±0.03e	23.2±0.01e					
<b>M3</b>	75	26.36±0.02c	28.1±0.03c					
M4	100	27.83±0.02bc	29.97±0.01b					
PM1	25	12.5±0.02f	14.53±0.08f					
PM2	50	23±0.02d	24.45±0.03d					
PM3	75	28±0.06b	30.15±0.01b					
PM4	100	33.81±0.02a	35±0.02a					

Data are the mean values of n=3 in a column values with different letters show significant difference (P $\leq$ 0.05) as determined by Tukey's HSD test.

- *P. sativum* uptake a total of 20-40% at 30 d and 30-50% at 90 d of growth.
- The Cu uptake tendency was detected in order of: soil > root > shoots at 30 d whereas the order was of soil > root > pods ≥ shoots at 90 d.
- The ranges of Cu content were 0.75-2.1 µg/kg in treatment supplied with Metal alone and 0.65-2.3 µg/kg in treatments under combine stress of Metal and Pathogen.

Recommended values of 0.005-0.02 µg/kg in plant (DW) (Adriano, 1986)



- ✓ The maximum reduction in plant growth, biomass and yield was evidenced in treatments inoculated with S. rolfsii alone in comparison to the treatments provided with metal alone or combined with pathogen.
- The adverse influence of Cu(II) on the test plant was increased with elevating metal concentrations in the range of <u>50-100 mg/L</u>. The uptake preference of Cu was found in order of: soil > roots > shoots > pods.
- ✓ A considerable expression of defensin like (Def) and metallothionein (MTA) genes in the P. sativum revealed gene roles in handling both biotic and abiotic stresses.

The study will be helpful in providing important information to agriculturalists particularly to plant pathologists, agronomists, food technologist and environmentalists regarding limitations in crop productivity, affecting food production and yield quality due to detrimental soil borne fungal pathogens and metal pollution.

The study suggests to prevent extensive use of Cu-based pesticides to control plant diseases and Cu-loaded wastewater for irrigation that in turn result in land degradation along with food safety issues.

